

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In Re KATZ INTERACTIVE CALL  
PROCESSING LITIGATION

Case No. 07-ML-1816 RGK (FFMx)

This document relates to  
CV 07-6222 RGK (FFMx)

**ORDER DENYING ECHOSTAR  
SATELLITE L.L.C.'s MOTION TO  
COMPEL**

On May 13, 2014, the Court heard oral argument on the motion of Echostar Satellite L.L.C. (“Echostar”) to compel the production of settlement agreements by plaintiff. The Court took the matter under submission and directed the parties to provide supplemental briefing with respect to the issue of whether a party has an obligation to supplement its prior production with documents that were not created until after the discovery cutoff. On May 20, 2014, plaintiff and Echostar submitted the requested briefing.

**ISSUES RAISED BY THE MOTION**

The following four issues are raised by the motion:

1. Does the Magistrate Judge have jurisdiction to grant the motion even though the discovery cutoff date has passed?

///



1 4. Are the settlement agreements discoverable?

2 Given the foregoing, issue 4 is moot.

3  
4 **CONCLUSION**

5 Echostar's motion to compel is DENIED.

6  
7 Dated: May 29, 2014

8 ~~/s/~~ FREDERICK F. MUMM  
9 FREDERICK F. MUMM  
10 United States Magistrate Judge  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28